

☐ SECRET

Approved For Release 2006/02/07

☒ CONFIDENTIAL 997114

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT

CONTRACT NO.

TASK NO.

TO:

DATE

5 February 1968

INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state)

71

ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE

Complete

NAME OF CONTRACTOR

PI Performance and Technique Study

THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE

☐ YES

☐ NO

NA

PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED -

PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED -

THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS ☐ YES ☐ NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.

NA

HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? ☒ YES ☐ NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.)

HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)

INCENTIVES

IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT? IF YES, CHECK TYPE

☐ YES

☒ NO

☐ COST

☐ AWARD FEE

☐ PERFORMANCE

☐ DELIVERY

NOTE: USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS. FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCENTIVE EVALUATION.

OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR

1. ☐ OUTSTANDING

4. ☐ ABOVE AVERAGE

7. ☐ UNSATISFACTORY

2. ☐ EXCELLENT

5. ☐ AVERAGE

3. ☒ VERY GOOD

6. ☐ MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE

IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

☐ CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED

☐ WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE

☒ CLOSE OUT

☐ OTHER (Specify)

IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REVERSE IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:

ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MANUALS		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FINAL REPORT	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SPECIAL TOOLING		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR

5 February 1968 (telephone conversation)

SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR

DIVISION

NGA review(s) complete

TSAG/BCD

SIGNATURE OF APPROVER

☐ SECRET☐ CONFIDENTIAL☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2006/02/07 : CIA-RDP78B04770A001800020017-4

NARRATIVE REPORT

☐ INTERIM☒ FINAL

25X1 A final technical report entitled An Analysis of Missile Sites as a Function of Photographic Ground Resolution has been submitted jointly by []

[] participation in the study upon which the report is based primarily involved photo stimulus preparation. High quality photography of domestic ICBM sites was collected with [] help and then systematically degraded in predetermined resolution steps.

25X1 [] expertise in the field of photographic simulation is equaled by few other commercial organizations. [] the principal investigator under this contract performed admirably both as a photographic scientist (his major discipline) and as an experiment designer along with [] He is considered to be a great asset to any program of this nature which NPIC may run in the future. His full knowledge of the acquisition systems and the exploitation equipment and materials related to the Center only reinforce his other superb qualifications as a scientist.

25X1 The report itself reflects thoroughness and care on the parts of both [] [] The two companies work together very well in such efforts which must effectively combine physical image considerations with psychophysical test design requirements. The value of the study was particularly enhanced by the use of operational Agency analysts as final evaluators as insisted by both []

25X1 [] who was unable to be so rigorous in a similar Air Force study, is only one of the many commendations of this effort. DDCGT/OSI has been the source of emphatic confirmation as to the importance of such studies as their results significantly aid collection systems designers. NPIC's attempts to communicate exploitation requirements to reconnaissance systems planners have been sharply boosted by this project.

☐ UNCLASSIFIED Approved For Release 2006/02/07 : CIA-RDP78B04770A001800020017-4 ☒ SECRET